Understanding Water Quality and Optimizing Water Usage

Donald LaFlamme Coral Chemical Company

- Water is a significant variable in the pretreatment process comprising >95% of the pretreatment process chemistry.
- Thought must be given to water's contribution and it's ultimate cost in the pretreatment operation.
- Water's primary role is to remove chemical, soil residue and contaminants from the metal substrate.
- This creates a contaminant free surface which promotes optimum coating adhesion and performance.
- Water quality has a critical importance in achieving your specified coating performance standards.

- Water quality is directly related to the impurities \bullet or contaminants that it contains.
- A major issue for a superior quality pretreatment \bullet process is the level of total dissolved solids (TDS) accumulating in the rinse water.
- Excessive TDS in the water deposits on the metal substrate during rinsing. As it evaporates the impurities remain on the metal substrate.
- Poor water quality results in salt spray failure, rust, warranty claims, excessive water treatment costs, maintenance costs, labor costs and environment compliance issues.
- High quality water does come at a cost.

- Achieving high water quality requires costs in the form of water purification equipment.
- \bullet Which costs would we prefer;
	- Unexpected, unpredictable, possibly devastating cost of poor water quality.
	- Predictable, budgeted, proactive cost of good water.
- Thought must be given to the role water plays in the pretreatment process with an understanding that good water quality is key to preventing paint problems.
- Optimizing, monitoring and controlling the quality of water in the pretreatment process is also essential.
- \bullet The choice depends on your specified performance standards and whether or not you have the tolerance to handle field failures and dissatisfied customers.

Water Quality: Effects on Paint Performance

- Paint coatings are semi-permeable membranes allowing moisture to migrate through the polymer film as a result of osmotic pressure.
- When adhesion of the coating to the substrate is impaired, moisture permeates the coating which causes it to swell, creating a corrosion site and lifting the coating away from the substrate.
- Powder coatings with high levels of inert extenders or low crosslink density are more permeable and more susceptible to corrosion.

Water Quality: Effects on Paint Performance

- As the coating respires, salts left on the substrate from poor water quality, will absorb transmitted moisture until the salts resolubilize.
- **This breaks the bonds that may have existed** with the deposited salts and the coating substrate interface.
- The end result is coating delamination and substrate degradation.
- **Coating performance related to poor rinsing** may deteriorate over days or weeks after coating application.
- **Coated parts can pass adhesion testing one day** and fail the next.

Water Quality: Effects on Pretreatment Process

- Hardness in water is caused by the presence of mineral salts, mostly calcium, magnesium, iron, and manganese.
- Mineral salts react with the cleaner to form soap film or scum, which does not rinse away easily.
- Mineral salts in hard water reacts with cleaners to form insoluble precipitates that clog spray nozzles.

Water Quality: Effects on Pretreatment Process

- Chelating agents are very effective in counteracting water hardness. \bullet
- Chelating agents are able to "tie up" the hard minerals that are found in \bullet water and free up the cleaning agents to work on the actual soils.
- Chelating agents can interfere with the ability of other chemicals to \bullet removed emulsified oils and dissolved metals from solution which can lead to waste disposal problems.
- **•** Proper cleaner selection is based on the substrate to be cleaned and consideration should be given to in-coming water quality.

Water Quality: Effects on Pretreatment Process

- Pretreatment performance is impeded when high levels of dissolved solids are transmitted to the parts via rinse water.
- Other elements found in water that contribute to poor pretreatment performance are chlorides and sulfates.
- Chlorides and sulfates in high concentrations will lead to the formation of \bullet corrosion points on the surface of the substrate.
- Incoming water with high chloride and sulfate levels may require a RO/ DI system for optimum pretreatment quality.

Water Quality: Water Sources

- Four various water sources can be used to supply a \bullet pretreatment system.
- Two common measurements are used in metal finishing to measure water quality.
- **•** Pretreatment design, process equipment and the performance requirements of the finished goods, determine which water sources may be appropriate for the application.
- \bullet The following sections present a brief description of each water source.

Water Quality: Ground Water

- Ground water combines with carbon dioxide forming weak carbonic acid.
- Ground water moves through soil and rock dissolving very small amounts of minerals and holds them in solution.
- Calcium and magnesium dissolved in water are the two most common minerals that make water "hard."
- Water hardness is the amount of dissolved calcium and magnesium in the water.

Water Quality: Ground Water

- Five most common metals and ions present in ground water in descending order.
	- \bullet CaCO₃
		- **Calcium carbonate** (limestone) forms water scale in process equipment.
	- **Sodium**
		- Sodium remaining on the metal surface can serve as an initiator for the corrosion process.
	- Sulfate
		- **Sulfate ions accelerate corrosion.**
	- **Magnesium**
		- Magnesium combined with $CaCO₃$ create hardness and water scale.
	- Chloride
		- **Chloride ions accelerate corrosion.**

Water Quality: Measurements

- **Total Dissolved Solids** (TDS) refers to a measurement of all inorganic solids dissolved in the water.
- TDS will measure all ions that contribute to water hardness, like calcium, but also those that do not, like sodium.
- TDS measurement is a better reflection of the total mineral content of the water rather than a water hardness measurement.
- Water hardness is typically reported in grains per gallon, milligrams per liter (mg/l) or parts per million (ppm). One grain of hardness equals approximately 17.1 ppm (mg/L) in TDS.
- Note that since TDS includes inorganic solids, 17 ppm does not necessarily equal 1 grain of hardness.

Water in Mexico has relatively high TDS (500+TDS), and particularly a high silica concentration. High silica concentration limits the recovery that an RO system can deliver.

Water Quality: Measurements

- Conductivity measures the ability of a substance to conduct electric current.
- Measurement is made with an electronic sensor or meter in micro (μS) or milli (mS) siemens per centimeter.
- How many µS in 1 mS? The answer is 1000.
- Conductivity increases with increasing ion content providing a good approximation of the TDS measurement (conversion factor of 1 ppm = 1.56 μ S/cm).
- The measurement combines all ions in the sample including those that do not contribute to the water's hardness.

Water Quality: Reducing Water Scale with Softened Water

- Softened water is not the answer; softening process replaces the calcium and magnesium ions with sodium ions (salt).
- Water softeners do not remove TDS. Water softeners work through a process of ion exchange. Therefore, the TDS level will remain virtually constant (there may be minor differences).
- Soft water lowers tendency of water to form scale.
- Softened water is more prone to foaming. \bullet
- Sodium remaining on the substrate serves as an initiator for the corrosion process creating poor salt spray performance.
- **A** Recommend softened water for **cleaning stage only**.

Water Quality: Improving Water Quality with Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water

- Water is pretreated via water softener/carbon filter or by chemical injection to remove hardness and chloride ions.
- **Ions are removed by forcing source water through** semi-permeable membranes producing higher water purity than ground water or softened water.
- More corrosive than ground water requiring stainless steel tanks and pumps in the pretreatment system.
- Reduce pretreatment chemical usage when used as make-up water for chemical baths.
- Improve pretreatment performance.
- Feedwater temperature affects output. \bullet

Water Quality: Improving Water Quality with Deionized (DI) Water

- \bullet Two reactions produce DI water.
	- **Ions are removed and replaced with hydrogen** ions by a cation exchange resin, which is regenerated by an acid.
	- Acid is removed through an anion exchange that is regenerated with an alkaline solution.
- DI water has an extremely low TDS level (0–15 ppm).
- DI water system is the best way to remove salts and chlorides.
- Higher operating cost than a RO water system.
- DI water is corrosive. Stainless steel construction and corrosion resistant components are required when using DI water.
- \bullet Typical conductivity of <23 μ S/cm.

- Suggested Rinse Water Parameters after Cleaning Stage.
	- 300 ppm TDS (470 µS/cm conductivity) above the incoming water source.
	- \bullet <1,500 ppm TDS (2.3 mS/cm conductivity).
	- First rinse after cleaner may operate at 1,500 ppm TDS (2.3 mS/cm) if followed by a second rinse.
		- Second cleaner rinse ≤ 600 ppm TDS (940 μ S/cm conductivity).
		- Consider using a fresh water halo after first rinse when operating at higher conductivity/TDS ranges.
	- Chemical titration <10% b/v of the previous chemical stage.
		- \bullet Previous stage concentration divided by product factor (supplier specified) = A
		- \bullet Rinse bath sample size divided by previous bath sample size $=$ B
		- $^{\circ}$ "A" x 0.10 x "B" = maximum rinse bath chemical titration
		- \bullet Example: (2% cleaner ⁄ 0.69=2.9) x 0.10 x (100 mL / 10 mL = 10) = 2.9 mL limit

Suggested Rinse Water Parameters after Iron / Zinc Pretreatment.

- 300 ppm TDS (470 µS/cm conductivity) above the incoming water source.
- First rinse <1,000 ppm TDS (1.6 mS/cm conductivity) followed by second rinse.
- Second rinse operate ≤ 160 ppm TDS (250 μ S/cm).
- Consider using a fresh water halo after first rinse when operating at higher conductivity/TDS ranges.
- \bullet Chemical titration \leq 5% b/v of the previous chemical stage.
	- \bullet Previous stage concentration divided by product factor (supplier specified) = A
	- Rinse bath sample size divided by previous bath sample size $=$ B \bullet
	- "A" x 0.05 x "B" = maximum rinse bath chemical titration
	- **•** Example: (2.5% pretreatment ⁄ 0.65 = 3.8) x 0.05 x (100 mL $/10$ mL = 10) = 1.9 mL limit

- Suggested Rinse Water Parameters before/after Zirconium Pretreatment.
- Rinse with DI / RO water prior to the application of the zirconium pretreatment for optimum pretreatment performance.
	- \bullet <150 ppm TDS (234 µS/cm conductivity) rinse prior.
	- Consider a DI or RO water halo (fresh) before the parts enter the zirconium pretreatment stage.
	- \bullet <32 ppm TDS (50 µS/cm conductivity) for fresh water halo.
- Rinse with DI / RO water after zirconium pretreatment for optimum pretreatment performance.
	- \bullet <65 ppm TDS (100 µS/cm conductivity) for the rinses after zirconium pretreatment.
	- Consider using a fresh DI / RO water halo at the end of the final rinse.
	- \bullet <32 ppm TDS (50 µS/cm conductivity) for the final fresh water halo.

Suggested Final Rinse Water Parameters

- Final rinse with DI / RO water for optimum pretreatment performance.
	- \bullet <65 ppm TDS (100 µS/cm conductivity) final rinse.
	- \bullet <25 ppm TDS (39 µS/cm conductivity) final rinse prior to e-coat.
	- Consider a DI or RO water halo (fresh) at end of final rinse stage.
	- \bullet <32 ppm TDS (50 µS/cm conductivity) for fresh water halo.
	- Total chloride and sulfate <70 ppm.
		- Total chloride <50 ppm.
		- Total sulfate <50 ppm.
	- If using a final chemical seal rinse, parameters would be adjusted.

Optimizing Water Usage – RO Cost of Operations

- System Design \bullet
	- Operating Hours: 8 hours per day \bullet
	- Operating Days: 320 days per year
	-
	- Plant Recovery: 75 %
	- RO Feed Flow: 27 gpm
	- Total Concentrate Flow: 7 gpm
	- Total Permeate Flow: 20 gpm
- Cost of Operations Totals \bullet
	- \bullet
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
- \bullet
-
- ANNUAL COSTS: \$20,900.99 \triangle

Finished Water: 3,072,000 gallons per year Electricity: 3.53 kWh / 1000 gallons \$0.25 / 1000 gallons Chemicals: 0.633 lbs / 1000 gallons \$0.17 / 1000 gallons RO Membrane Replace: \$1,470.00 per change \$0.05 / 1000 gallons Membrane Cleaning: $$112.00$ per cleaning $$0.04 / 1000$ gallons Labor: \$3.61 / 1000 gallons • Feed Water: \$2.00 / 1000 gallons Sewer Treatment: \$0.69 / 1000 gallons

- TOTAL: \$6.80 / 1000 gallons of finished water produced
- TOTAL without Water/Sewer: \$4.12 / 1000 gallons of finished water produced
	-

Optimizing Water Usage – Cost Benefit of Using RO

- One 3000 gallon final RO water rinse tank overflowing at 3 gpm for 8 hours $= 1,440$ gallons x 320 days $= 460,800$ gallons per year
- 3000 gallon RO water rinse tank dumped once per week (40 times per year) $= 120,000$ gallons per year
- Total 580,800 gallons per year of water (RO) consumed for one rinse tank $=$ \$3,950.00 per year (RO water cost)
- Leaving 2,491,200 gallons of RO water capacity for other rinses and chemical stages.
- RO make up water in cleaning stage will have a significant impact on maintenance and labor costs.
- RO water will optimize pretreatment performance when used as final rinse and in the pretreatment stage.

Optimizing Water Usage – Conductivity Control

Rinse overflow adjusted by educated guess with or without aid of chemical titration.

- Conductivity Controllers (conductivity probes) \bullet measure the total dissolved solids of water in a rinse tank.
- Overflowing rinse based on a conductivity set point \bullet which energizes a fresh water solenoid valve.
- Constant monitoring and control of rinse overflow. \bullet

Optimizing Water Usage – Counter Flow Rinsing

- Counter Flow Rinsing is a method of reusing water from one rinsing \bullet operation to another, less critical rinsing operation before being discharged to treatment.
- The rinse water both removes and neutralizes drag-out from the work piece.

Optimizing Water Usage – Reduce Drag-out

- **•** Process lines can be modified to reduce drag-out of bath chemicals.
- Extended floor drain pans to help contain drag-out.
- **A** Reorient parts to maximize drainage.
- \bullet Make small design changes to maximize drainage.

Optimizing Water Usage – Spray Nozzles

- Plugged spray nozzles can cause areas of the parts to be poorly pretreated.
- Common response to quality failures is \bullet to increase the flow and frequency of bath changes when merely cleaning the nozzles could ensure that the solution cleans and coats the parts.
- **•** Properly position nozzles for an ideal spray pattern to ensure the solution cleans the parts and doesn't flow into other stages.

- In Summary;
	- Good water quality is fundamental to any aqueous pretreatment system.
	- Poor water quality will limit the effectiveness of the chemical stages, leading to greater chemical usage.
	- Water quality will impact pretreatment process performance.
		- Salt Spray Testing.
		- **M** Humidity Testing.
	- Inadequate or inefficient water quality can be costly.

Thank You

Donald LaFlamme 812-606-1221 dlaflamme@coral.com

